



(Regd.) Shri Jaspal Singh, S/o Shri Darbara Singh,
R/o 499, Dharampura Colony,
Ward No. 5, Rajpura.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3074 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.03.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO. The appellant stated that he has sent the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, but the complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 21.06.2021, diarized vide no.13308, which is a copy of letter no.534-35 dated 16.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on dated 01.12.2020 and then by hand on 10.12.2020, but the information was not delivered and again in compliance to the Order of the Commission, the information was sent to the appellant vide letter no.186 dated 24.04.2021 in which 3910 pages of information was provided to the appellant. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above the PIO has submitted that the information comprising of 3910 pages was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 186 dated

24.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.534-35 dated 16.06.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Jaspal Singh,
S/o Shri Darbara Singh,
R/o 499, Dharampura Colony,
Ward No. 5, Rajpura.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3075 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.02.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Moga.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO. The appellant stated that he has sent the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, but the complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13184, which is a copy of letter no.512-13 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was provided to the appellant on 28.05.2020 comprising of 53 pages and then vide letter no.201-03 dated 26.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana/Moga office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was provided to the appellant on 28.05.2020 comprising of 53 pages and then vide letter no.201-03 dated 26.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.512-13 dated 15.06.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is

available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana/Moga office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Jaspal Singh,
S/o Shri Darbara Singh,
R/o 499, Dharampura Colony,
Ward No. 5, Rajpura.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3082 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO. The appellant stated that he has sent the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, but the complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 21.06.2021, diarized vide no.13309, which is a copy of letter no.520-21 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 19.09.2020 and 07.12.2020, which was returned back by the Postal Department and then the information comprising of 22 pages was sent to the appellant vide letter no.182 dated 23.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter no.182 dated 23.04.2021 and now again the

information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.520-21 dated 15.06.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Jaspal Singh,
S/o Shri Darbara Singh,
R/o 499, Dharampura Colony,
Ward No. 5, Rajpura.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3083 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO. The appellant stated that he has sent the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, but the complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13185, which is a copy of letter no.508-09 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was provided to the appellant on 29.06.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.176-78 dated 23.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was provided to the appellant on 29.06.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.176-78 dated 23.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.508-09 dated 15.06.2021. It is

further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Ajay Kumar,
H.No. 617, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar,
Near Jalandhar Bye Pass,
Central Post Office, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Near Jalandhar Bye Pass,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3081 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ajay Kumar, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Ajay Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant has sent an e-mail to the Commission on 30.05.2021, which is a copy of the list of deficiencies sent to the PIO. The appellant has sent another e-mail to the Commission on 22.06.2021 and submitted that he will be not be able to attend the hearing today due to sudden demise of his relative and requested for adjournment.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13186, which is a copy of letter no.518-19 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was provided to the appellant on 25.02.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.179-81 dated 23.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was provided to the appellant on 25.02.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.179-81 dated 23.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.518-19 dated 15.06.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Shingara Singh,
VPO Burj Hari Singh Wala,
Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Near Jalandhar Bye Pass,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3079 of 2020

Present:- Shri Shingara Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Shingara Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant has sent an e-mail to the Commission on 31.05.2021, which is a copy of the list of deficiencies sent to the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13182, which is a copy of letter no.516-17 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was provided to the appellant on 25.02.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.207-09 dated 26.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was provided to the appellant on 25.02.2020 and again vide letter dated 04.08.2020 and then vide letter no.207-09 dated 26.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.516-17 dated 15.06.2021. It is

further submitted by the PIO by way of duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



(Regd.) Shri Shingara Singh,
VPO Burj Hari Singh Wala,
Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Salem Tabri, Near Jalandhar Bye Pass,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd.,
Sector-28, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3084 of 2020

Present:- Shri Shingara Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of Shri Chander Shekhar, PIO-cum-District Manager, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Shingara Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Ltd., Moga.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant has sent an e-mail to the Commission on 31.05.2021, which is a copy of the list of deficiencies sent to the PIO.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13183, which is a copy of letter no.514-15 dated 15.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and vide this letter the PIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant regarding the deficiencies pointed out by him. The PIO has also sent a duly attested affidavit dated 22.06.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 and it is submitted by the PIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant has been sent to him. It is further submitted by the PIO in the affidavit that earlier the information was provided to the appellant on 15.07.2020 and then vide letter no.204-06 dated 26.04.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO that there is no other record/information available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana/Moga office relating to the appellant.

From the facts and circumstances narrated above, the PIO has submitted that the information was provided to the appellant on 15.07.2020 and then vide letter no.204-06 dated 26.04.2021 and now again the information/reply regarding the deficiencies was also sent to the appellant vide letter no.514-15 dated 15.06.2021. It is further submitted by the PIO by way of

duly attested affidavit that no other record/information is available with the P.A.F.C., Ludhiana/Moga office relating to the sought for information. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

The Reader of the court is directed that a copy of the affidavit dated 22.06.2021 be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate),
585, Phase -2,
Mohali.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman, Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chairman, Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4048 of 2020

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant is present.
Shri Nirbhai Singh, APIO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Chairman,
Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 07.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Chairman, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent APIO stated that the information comprising of 1289 pages has been provided by hand to the appellant on 17.06.2021 vide letter no. 136 dated 16.06.2021.

The appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate) stated that he has received the information, but the same is not signed by the SPIO.

So, the appellant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO within a week on any working day and SPIO is further directed to provide the signed copy of information to the appellant and sent compliance report to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Birchh Bhan, S/o Shri Saroop Chand,
R/o H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road,
Patran, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab School Education Board,
Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Punjab School Education Board,
Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1265 of 2021

Present:- Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant is absent.
Shri Rakesh Kumar, Suptd. (94632-20951) is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab School Education Board, SAS Nagar, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.01.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 09.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today to be heard through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the appellant sought information regarding Mother India Public School, Patran, Distt. Patiala, but the said school is not affiliated by the Punjab School Education Board and the reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide dispatch number 06 dated 08.01.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is copy of reply dated 03.06.2021. I have gone through the said reply and it is submitted by the SPIO that regarding the information sought by the appellant, it is reported by the concerned APIO that the Mother India Public School, Patran, Distt. Patiala is not affiliated by the Punjab School Education Board and the reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide dispatch number 06 dated 08.01.2021.

As the appellant sought information regarding the Mother India Public School, Patran, Distt. Patiala, but the said school is not affiliated by the Punjab School Education Board, and the reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide dispatch number 06 dated 08.01.2021. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1032 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1033 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Contd..p2,..

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1034 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Contd..p2,..

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1035 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1036 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, S/o Shri Braham Prakash, (M: 99152-35055),
186, VPO Lohara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1037 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today. The SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 03.06.2021, the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. The SPIO further submitted that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO which is a copy of letter no. 1337 dated 21.06.2021 along with copy of letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and copy of application dated 08.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1337 dated 21.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda on 08.06.2021 and the concerned record was provided to the appellant for inspection and letter no.6623 dated 04.11.2020 was also provided to him. I have also gone through application dated 08.06.2021 and there is a noting made by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda that that the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not available with the office of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather, it is in the custody of the office of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda vide Order dated 07.10.2020. The SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 1232 dated 02.06.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO and also heard him personally on the Show Cause Notice. As per the reply, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Baljit Singh, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Bathinda is hereby dropped and filed.

The SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 6623 dated 04.11.2020 and moreover the concerned record was also inspected by the appellant on 08.06.2021, but the information relating to scanned copies of some documents are not in the custody of the Secretary, RTA, Bathinda, rather it is in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant also admitted during the hearing that these documents are in the custody of the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, but he is insisting that the respondent SPIO should approach the office of the STC, Punjab and provide him the information, whereas the information which is not in the custody of public authority how can that information can be provided to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is advised to obtain the information relating to scanned copies of some documents by filing fresh RTI application before the concerned office i.e. the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Dated: 22.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**